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CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

This Water Supply Assessment (WSA) was prepared for Bert Verrips, AICP, Environmental Consulting, the firm preparing the programmatic Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Westlands Solar Park Master Plan (project) on behalf of Westlands Water District (District or WWD). The District has been identified as the water purveyor that would supply operational water to the project and it is the lead agency conducting the environmental review.

The primary purpose of the WSA is to determine if there is sufficient water supply to meet the demands of the project and future water demands under normal and dry water years over the next 20 years. The WSA will be included in the EIR prepared for the project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This forms the basis for an assessment of water supply sufficiency in accordance with the requirements of California Water Code §10910, et seq. The WSA was prepared in conformance with the requirements of Senate Bill 610 (Chapter 643, Statutes of 2001) (referred to here as SB 610). SB 610 was adopted, along with a companion measure Senate Bill 221 effective January 1, 2002, to improve the nexus between land use planning and water supply availability. Information regarding water supply availability is to be provided to local public agency decision makers prior to approval of development projects that meet or exceed specific criteria.

- A proposed residential development of more than 500 dwelling units.
- A proposed shopping center or business establishment employing more than 1,000 persons or having more than 500,000 square feet of floor space.
- A proposed commercial office building employing more than 1,000 persons or having more than 250,000 square feet of floor space.
- A proposed hotel or motel, or both, having more than 500 rooms.
- A proposed industrial, manufacturing, or processing plant, or industrial park planned to house more than 1,000 persons, occupying more than 40 acres of land, or having more than 650,000 square feet of floor area.
- A mixed-use project that includes one or more of the projects defined above.
- A project that would demand an amount of water equivalent to, or greater than, the amount of water required by a 500 dwelling unit project

SB 610 was not originally clear on whether renewable energy projects are subject to SB 610 and require a WSA. However, SB 267 was signed into law on October 8, 2011, amending California’s Water Law to revise the definition of “project” specified in SB 610. Under SB 267, wind and photovoltaic projects which consume less than 75 acre-feet per year (afy) of water are not considered to be a “project” under SB 610. As discussed in Chapter 2, a peak project water demand of 729 afy may be needed for construction and operations, with an ongoing annual operational demand of 270 afy after construction is completed.
There is no public potable water system available or needed to serve the project. The project site is located within the boundaries of the District which provides irrigation water to users within its jurisdiction. The District does not deliver treated water for human consumption and is not considered a public water system. Water required during construction and operation of the project does not need to be treated for human consumption and will be obtained from groundwater wells and/or from the District. There is no Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) that accounts for the project water demands because UWMPs are prepared by urban water suppliers. The District is not considered an urban water supplier and is not required to prepare an UWMP.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

The Westlands Solar Park is planned as a series of large utility-scale photovoltaic (PV) solar energy generating facilities on a total area of approximately 20,900 acres. The Master Plan area is in unincorporated west-central Kings County, south of Naval Air Station Lemoore, as shown on Figure 1. The site is within the Westlands Competitive Renewable Energy Zone (WWD CREZ) as identified through the Renewable Energy Transmission Initiative (RETI). As shown in Figure 1, the plan area is generally located south of SR-198, west of SR-41, and east of the Fresno County line. Almost half (9,800 acres) of the project site has been retired from irrigated agricultural uses while the remaining irrigated lands (11,100 acres) purchase water from WWD and/or pump groundwater.

Also included in the Master Plan are two 230-kV generation-interconnection tie-lines (gen-ties) which will deliver solar generated power to the California grid at Gates Substation located approximately 11.5 miles west of Westlands Solar Park (see Figure 1). The 11.5-mile northern gen-tie line will connect the northern portion of the WSP plan area to the Gates Substation alongside an existing Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) 230-kV transmission line, and the 11.5-mile southern gen-tie will connect the southern and central portions of the WSP plan area along a corridor running alongside Nevada/Jayne Avenues.

The Westlands Solar Park Master Plan provides a planning framework for the comprehensive and orderly development of renewable solar energy resources within the WWD CREZ. The total peak generating capacity of the project is estimated to be approximately 2,000 megawatts (MW) based on current solar PV technology and collection systems.

The development of Westlands Solar Park is planned to occur through the incremental installation of individual solar projects privately developed over a 13 year period from 2018 through 2030, inclusive. The solar modules will be installed at an average rate of about 154 MW per year with up to 250 MW to be constructed during the peak years. Individual solar projects are anticipated to vary from 20 MW to 250 MW. The location and timing of individual solar projects with the Westlands Solar Park plan area will depend on market conditions as well as institutional and technical factors that will determine the time and place of interconnection to the electrical grid and the construction of internal and external transmission facilities.
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Figure 1. Regional Location

Source: Kings County Community Development Agency
For master planning purposes, it is expected that the average density of solar generation throughout the project will be about one MW per 10 acres. While land requirements for solar arrays themselves will be less, this factor recognizes additional land requirements for supporting infrastructure such as operation and maintenance facilities, substations and switching stations, internal power collection and transmission corridors, and maintenance access roads, as well as existing physical features to be accommodated such as natural gas pipeline easements, irrigation canals and ditches, and irregular site boundaries. (In addition, there are a number of scattered parcels within the Westlands Solar Park plan area that will not be developed for solar facilities, such that the total developable acreage within the plan area will be approximately 20,000 acres.)

Under current technology, it is anticipated that the solar modules will be mounted on a series of horizontal single-axis trackers to be oriented in north-south rows which will rotate the solar arrays in an east-west direction. The solar modules generate direct current (DC) power and the electricity travels via underground cables to inverters to be converted to alternating current (AC) power. Since the solar facilities will not have permanent on-site staff, wastewater generated by workers visiting the solar facilities for maintenance activities will be held in septic tanks that will be regularly serviced by a private septic pumping contractor, with the collected wastewater disposed of at an approved wastewater treatment facility in the area.

Chapter 2 of this WSA provides a discussion of future project water demands and historical site demands. Water supply information is provided in Chapter 3. The comparison of water demands with supplies and the reliability of supplies is provided in Chapter 4 followed by sufficiency findings in Chapter 5.
CHAPTER 2 – WATER DEMANDS

The regional climatic characteristics are summarized along with projected project water demands and current water production requirements for the site.

CLIMATIC CONDITIONS

The project area is in the semi-arid San Joaquin Valley. Temperatures during the summer are hot, frequently exceeding 100 degrees Fahrenheit. Cool winters occasionally fall below freezing. Average maximum and minimum temperatures are presented in Table 1 for the closest station which is near Kettleman City. The growing season is long with most rainfall occurring between November and April. As presented in Table 1, the average annual precipitation is 6.6 inches. With climate change, the State Department of Water Resources (DWR) expects a reduced snowpack, spring runoff shifting to earlier in the year, more frequent and extreme dry periods, and shorter winters.

Table 1. Climate Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Average Maximum Temperature (F)</th>
<th>Average Minimum Temperature (F)</th>
<th>Average Precipitation (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Temperature and precipitation from Kettleman City, Ca #044534, Western Regional Climate Center for period of record February 1955 through January 2015. (WRCC, 2015)
PROJECT WATER DEMANDS

Water demands for the Westlands Solar Park plan area consist of temporary construction demands over a 13 year period and long term operational demands for rinsing the solar modules and controlling site vegetation.

Construction Water Use

The highest water demands are associated with construction in preparing the site for the solar arrays and trenching for conduit. During this earthwork phase of construction, non-potable water will be used for dust control. Because the timing of the various solar projects within Westlands Solar Park cannot be predicted with certainty over the 13 year period, the water requirements may vary greatly on a year to year basis. Project proponents estimate the maximum rate of development to be approximately 250 MW per year with the average annual rate of development being approximately 154 MW.

The simplest and most effective way to calculate water demands for solar projects is to base the estimates on each MW of power generated. Based on past experience with similar solar projects, each MW will require 2.0 acre-feet of water during construction (equivalent to 0.2 af/acre), as presented in Table 2. The total construction water demands are 4,000 acre-feet spread over 13 years. Assuming a peak development year of 250 MW, the peak year construction demands could be 500 afy. Construction demands are presented in Table 3. The construction of both gen-tie lines would involve a total ground disturbance area of 150 acres (at the transmission tower sites). At a water application rate of 0.2 af/acre, the total water required for dust control during gen-tie line construction would be 30 acre-feet.

Table 2. Water Demand Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Water Use</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction – Dust Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSP Plan Area</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>afy/MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSP Gen-Tie Corridors</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>afy/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel Washing</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>gal/MW/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep Grazing</td>
<td>2,039</td>
<td>gal/MW/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operations</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>gal/MW/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operational Demands</strong></td>
<td>44,039</td>
<td>gal/MW/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The water supply for construction needs will be obtained from existing agricultural wells on or near the project site. Supplies are described in the next chapter.

**Operational Water Use**

As the project develops, maintenance will primarily consist of washing the PV modules about four times each year to remove accumulated dust from panel surfaces to maintain efficiency. Light duty trucks with tow-behind trailers with small water tanks will transport the water; workers spray to wet the panel surfaces then squeegee the panels dry. In addition to panel washing, sheep will be grazing the site for approximately five months during the first half of each year to keep site vegetation under control. An additional demand for general operations and maintenance (e.g., equipment washing, hand washing, and other non-sanitary uses) is estimated to be 2,000 gallons per MW per year.

Water demand unit factors associated with operations are presented in Table 2. The panel washing unit factor is based on 1/8 of a gallon per square foot of panel or module with an average of 20 square feet per module, which equals 2.5 gallons per module. With four washing per year, the 10 gallons per module per year applied to approximately 4,000 modules per MW, equals 40,000 gallons per MW per year.

Sheep grazing within the plan area is based on 0.5 sheep per acre, on 18,000 net acres (20,000 acres minus 10 percent unvegetated area within each solar facility), grazing five months (151 days), at 3 gallons per day per sheep, equals 453 gallons per sheep per year. This totals 4,077,000 gallons per year or 2,039 gallons per MW per year, as presented in Table 2. Applying these factors to the total 2,000 MW capacity at buildout, total operational water demands will be 88 million gallons per year or 270 afy, as presented in Table 3. Overall, annual water demands are not anticipated to vary based on climatic conditions.

---

**Table 3. Project Water Demands**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Water Use$^1$</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westlands Solar Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Demands over 13 years</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>acre-feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Construction Demands (250 MW/yr)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>afy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westlands Solar Park Gen-Ties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Demands (150 acres @ 0.2 af/ac)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>acre-feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 Buildout Demands@2,000 MW</td>
<td>88,078,000</td>
<td>gallons per yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>afy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0135</td>
<td>af/ac/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Based on unit factors from Table 2.
The water supply for ongoing operations will be provided by the District. The District has a distribution system of laterals that convey surface water directly from the San Luis Canal/California Aqueduct. District water supplies are from several sources, as discussed in the following chapter.

**Maximum Water Demands During Construction**

Table 4 presents the maximum Westlands Solar Park water demand over the 13 year construction period. It is anticipated that 2018 will be the first year of construction. Although the first peak year of 250 MW capacity constructed is anticipated to occur in Year 4, at that time the operational demands will be approximately 80 afy, with a total Year 4 demand of 580 afy. During Year 12, construction of 250 MW is anticipated (500 afy), along with a greater operational demand at that time of 229 afy. Therefore, the maximum annual water demand associated with Westlands Solar Park solar development is 729 afy in Year 12 for combined construction needs and operational requirements.

**HISTORICAL WATER PRODUCTION**

Under current conditions, approximately 11,119 acres within the Westlands Solar Park plan area is irrigated with District water and groundwater, while 9,819 acres of District-owned lands is not irrigated. The District-owned lands are not irrigated due to poor drainage and water quality issues, resulting in lands left fallowed or used for non-irrigated low-yield agricultural production (tilled, seeded, and harvested for winter wheat and oats) utilizing precipitation only. There are a number of agricultural wells and irrigation canals within the Westlands Solar Park plan area; however, historical and current groundwater pumping quantities on project lands are not available. Assuming a typical application rate for croplands of 2.5 acre-feet per acre per year (af/ac/yr) applied to the approximately 11,120 acres of private lands being irrigated, existing water demands on the project site are approximately 27,800 afy. This demand is met with District water and groundwater pumping; the quantities of each vary annually depending on surface water availability.
Table 4. Maximum Water Demands During Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Megawatts (MW)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Demands (afy)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>4,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Demands (afy)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Demands (afy)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Year 1 assumed to be 2018; Year 13 is 2030. (Note: The number of MWs to be installed in a given year are based on preliminary estimates and are subject to change.)
2 Construction demands to be met with groundwater.
3 Includes 15 acre-feet of construction water demand for gen-tie construction in Years 3 and 8.
4 Operational demands to be met with WWD supplies.
CHAPTER 3 – WATER SUPPLIES

Water for project construction needs will be provided by wells proximate to each Westlands Solar Park solar facility. Upon completion, water for ongoing operational water supplies will be provided by the District through its water pipeline system from imported surface water sources. This section discusses water supplies currently used on project lands, surface water and groundwater available to the project, District supply conditions, water management activities, and reliability of project supplies.

CURRENT WATER USE

As discussed in Chapter 2, existing agricultural water demands within the Westlands Solar Park plan area are estimated to be approximately 27,800 afy. Agricultural water supplies for irrigated lands within the project site (approximately 11,100 acres) are currently provided by the District and groundwater pumping from on-site wells. The groundwater supply is untreated non-potable water for crop irrigation; there are no sources of potable domestic water within the master plan area. The remaining approximately 9,800 acres within the plan area is not irrigated.

SURFACE WATER SUPPLIES

The Westlands Solar Park plan area lies entirely within the boundaries of the District, as presented on Figure 2. The WWD was formed in 1952 to serve agricultural water users on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, and has a service area of 610,000 acres of which 44,000 acres is retired, non-irrigated farmland. The total volume of water required for WWD’s entire irrigable area of 568,000 acres is about 1.5 million afy (WWD 2016). Upon completion of the San Luis Canal by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) in 1968, WWD began receiving deliveries of Central Valley Project (CVP) water from the Delta. Water is delivered from the Sacramento River-San Joaquin River Delta during winter months and is stored in the San Luis Reservoir. Water is then delivered to District growers through the San Luis Canal and the Coalinga Canal. Once it leaves the federal project canals, water is delivered through approximately 1,030 miles of pipeline.

Westlands’ annual water entitlement from the USBR’s Central Valley Project is 1,197,000 acre-feet, or 303,000 acre-feet less than irrigation needs. Thus Westlands’ surface water supply entitlement of CVP water is 20 percent short even when 100 percent of the contract water is available. Some of the difference is made up by groundwater from the lower aquifer and water transfers (the latter averaging 150,000 acre-feet per year). Under the terms of the 2015 settlement agreement between WWD and the U. S. Department of Justice, WWD’s water deliveries will be capped at 895,000 afy, as discussed above. Thus the annual shortfalls of water supply will be approximately 500,000 afy, assuming full delivery of surface water, and annual transfers of 150,000 afy.

The west side of the San Joaquin Valley was among the last areas in the Central Valley to receive imported water from the Delta and thus have a lower priority to receive contract water from the federal
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Figure 2. Westlands Water District Boundary

Source: Westlands Water District, 2016
CVP. The south of Delta contractors suffer disproportionately during drought conditions when water deliveries are curtailed. For example, as presented in Table 5, between 2006 and 2015, WWD received its full 100 percent contract entitlement in only one year - 2006. In eight of those 10 years, WWD received water allocations that were 50 percent or less than its contract entitlement. The average annual water allocation received during that 10 year period was about 460,000 acre-feet, or 38.5 percent of the contract entitlement. This represents 31 percent of the total irrigation water demands within the District, which are 1.5 million acre-feet. As of this date, the District will receive its full 100 percent contract entitlement in 2017, the first time since 2006.

Table 5. Westlands Water District Water Supplies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Year</th>
<th>CVP Allocation %</th>
<th>Net CVP (AF)</th>
<th>Groundwater (AF)</th>
<th>Water User Acquired (AF)</th>
<th>Additional District Supply (AF)</th>
<th>Total Supply (AF)</th>
<th>Fallowed Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,150,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>7,857</td>
<td>97,712</td>
<td>1,415,369</td>
<td>45,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,035,369</td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>20,530</td>
<td>99,549</td>
<td>1,330,448</td>
<td>64,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>625,196</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>18,502</td>
<td>(2,223)</td>
<td>491,475</td>
<td>52,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>229,666</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>22,943</td>
<td>77,399</td>
<td>930,008</td>
<td>125,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>208,688</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>42,623</td>
<td>100,861</td>
<td>552,152</td>
<td>112,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>682,833</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>152,520</td>
<td>82,511</td>
<td>1,142,864</td>
<td>90,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>458,281</td>
<td>325,000</td>
<td>56,541</td>
<td>108,083</td>
<td>547,905</td>
<td>75,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,021,719</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>57,840</td>
<td>121,747</td>
<td>1,351,306</td>
<td>43,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>994,935</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>92,953</td>
<td>172,609</td>
<td>1,310,497</td>
<td>26,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>988,408</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>94,908</td>
<td>261,085</td>
<td>1,354,401</td>
<td>35,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>945,115</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>54,205</td>
<td>162,684</td>
<td>1,177,004</td>
<td>33,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>806,040</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>178,632</td>
<td>111,144</td>
<td>1,155,816</td>
<td>37,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>695,693</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>198,294</td>
<td>133,314</td>
<td>1,252,301</td>
<td>46,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>611,267</td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td>75,592</td>
<td>135,039</td>
<td>1,036,898</td>
<td>73,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>776,526</td>
<td>205,000</td>
<td>106,043</td>
<td>64,040</td>
<td>1,151,069</td>
<td>94,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>883,150</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>107,956</td>
<td>32,518</td>
<td>1,163,626</td>
<td>76,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>800,704</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>96,872</td>
<td>44,407</td>
<td>1,151,983</td>
<td>70,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>996,147</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>20,776</td>
<td>98,347</td>
<td>1,190,270</td>
<td>66,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,076,461</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>45,936</td>
<td>38,079</td>
<td>1,185,476</td>
<td>54,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>647,864</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>87,554</td>
<td>61,466</td>
<td>1,106,884</td>
<td>98,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>347,222</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>85,421</td>
<td>102,862</td>
<td>595,505</td>
<td>99,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>202,991</td>
<td>480,000</td>
<td>68,070</td>
<td>70,149</td>
<td>382,120</td>
<td>158,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>590,050</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>71,296</td>
<td>79,242</td>
<td>880,597</td>
<td>131,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>876,910</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>40,380</td>
<td>191,686</td>
<td>1,173,676</td>
<td>59,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>405,451</td>
<td>355,000</td>
<td>111,154</td>
<td>123,636</td>
<td>995,241</td>
<td>112,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>188,446</td>
<td>638,000</td>
<td>101,413</td>
<td>143,962</td>
<td>1,071,823</td>
<td>131,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>98,573</td>
<td>655,000</td>
<td>59,714</td>
<td>26,382</td>
<td>839,669</td>
<td>220,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>82,429</td>
<td>660,000</td>
<td>51,134</td>
<td>34,600</td>
<td>828,163</td>
<td>218,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9,204</td>
<td>612,000</td>
<td>72,154</td>
<td>174,374</td>
<td>867,732</td>
<td>179,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017*</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>957,763</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>164,220</td>
<td>1,183,983</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions: *Estimated
Water Year - March 1 to February 28
CVP Allocation - Final CVP water supply allocation for the year ( 100% = 1,150,000 AF)+(Reassignment = 46,948 AF)
Net CVP - CVP Allocation adjusted for carry over and rescheduled losses
Groundwater - Total groundwater pumped (see District’s Deep Groundwater Report)
Water User Acquired - Private Landowner water transfers
Additional District Supply - Surplus water, supplemental supplies, and other adjustments.
Fallowed Acres - Agricultural land out of production

Source: WWD, 2017
The curtailment of surface water deliveries is experienced equally by all of the District’s contractors, including the growers within the project master plan site. Under the terms of a 2015 settlement agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice, the CVP surface water deliveries to Westlands will be capped at 895,000 afy (USBR 2015).

The District augments CVP contract water with other supplies such as flood flows from the San Joaquin and Kings rivers when available; these seasonal supplies are made available to the District as they flow into the Mendota Pool. Water was last taken from this source in the above average water year of 2011-12. Water transfers have become an important component in the District supply portfolio. Transfers and other purchases are included in Table 5 as Additional District Supply. Transfers from other water districts are pursued each year to supplement contract deliveries. For example, water year 2011-12 saw a total of 115,615 acre-feet transferred into the District with 1,440 acre-feet transferred out. The amount of groundwater pumped from the basin in any given year is typically inversely proportional to the availability of surface water supplies; this is evident for dry water years 2013 through 2015, as shown in Table 5.

REGIONAL GROUNDWATER SUPPLY

The District does not supply groundwater to District growers nor does it regulate the use of groundwater. Growers within the District service area augment District deliveries with pumped groundwater to meet irrigation needs. The Westlands Solar Park plan area overlies the Westside Subbasin (S-22.09) of the San Joaquin Valley Basin within the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region. Although the District collects some pumping data, the lack of a complete database of extraction data and replenishment rates within the subbasin makes it difficult to estimate baseline conditions regarding water supply availability. This is a common problem in the San Joaquin Valley as the majority of water usage is associated with individual agricultural water users with a lack of consistent groundwater monitoring and reporting programs. Where data are not available to make quantitative estimates of water availability and reliability, reasonable assumptions are made here based on information and data that are available.

Subbasin Characteristics

The Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region covers approximately 17,000 square miles including all of Kings and Tulare counties, and most of Fresno and Kern counties. Significant geographic features include the Temblor Range to the west, the Tehachapi Mountains to the south and the southern Sierra Nevada to the east. The Kings, Kaweah, Tule, and Kern Rivers drain the southern portion of the valley internally towards the Tulare drainage basin.

The Westside Subbasin is primarily located in Fresno County; a portion – including the entire Westlands Solar Park plan area – is in Kings County. The subbasin encompasses a surface area of approximately 640,000 acres within the San Joaquin Valley. The Westside Subbasin is located between the Coast Range foothills on the west and the San Joaquin River drainage and Fresno Slough to the east. To the southwest is the Pleasant Valley Groundwater Subbasin, and to the west are Tertiary marine sediments.
of the Coast Ranges. To the north and northeast is the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Subbasin, and to the east and southeast are the Kings and Tulare Lake Groundwater subbasins, also subbasins of the San Joaquin Valley Basin.

The aquifer system comprising the Westside Subbasin consists of unconsolidated continental deposits of Tertiary and Quaternary age. These deposits form an unconfined to semi-confined upper aquifer and a confined lower aquifer. These aquifers are separated by an aquitard named the Corcoran Clay member of the Tulare Formation. The unconfined to semi-confined aquifer (upper zone) above the Corcoran Clay includes younger alluvium, older alluvium, and part of the Tulare Formation. These deposits consist of highly lenticular, poorly sorted clay, silt, and sand intercalated with occasional beds of well-sorted fine to medium grained sand. This clay layer ranges in thickness from 20 to 200 feet, underlies most of the District, and has extensive wells penetrating the clay which allows partial interaction between the zones (DWR, 2006). The depth to the top of the Corcoran Clay varies from approximately 500 feet to 850 feet (WWD, 2014). The confined aquifer (lower zone) consists of the lower part of the Tulare Formation and possibly the uppermost part of the San Joaquin Formation. This unit is composed of lenticular beds of silty clay, clay, silt, and sand interbedded with occasional strata of well-sorted sand. Brackish or saline water underlies the usable groundwater in the lower zone (DWR, 2006). Well yields are good with an average of 1,100 gallons per minute (gpm) and a maximum of 2,000 gpm (DWR, 2003a).

Flood basin deposits along the eastern subbasin have caused near surface soils to drain poorly thus restricting the downward movement of percolating water. This causes agriculturally applied water to build up as shallow water in the near surface zone. Areas prone to this buildup are often referred to as drainage problem areas (DWR, 2006).

Water quality in the lower water bearing zone varies. Typically, water quality varies with depth with poorer quality existing at the upper and lower limits of the aquifer and the optimum quality somewhere between. The upper limit of the aquifer is the base of the Corcoran Clay with the USGS identifying the lower limit as the base of the fresh groundwater. The quality of the groundwater below the base of fresh water can exceed 2,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L) total dissolved solids (TDS) which is too high for irrigating crops; the subbasin averages 520 mg/L TDS. In addition to high TDS, this subbasin can also contain selenium and boron that may affect usability as irrigation water.

Groundwater Level Trends

As shown in Table 6, groundwater levels were generally at their lowest levels in the late 1960’s prior to the importation of surface water. The CVP began delivering surface water to the San Luis Unit in 1967-68. Water levels gradually increased to a maximum in about 1987-88, falling briefly during the 1976-77 drought and again during the 1987-92 drought. 1998 water levels recovered nearly to the 1987-88 levels after a series of wet years. Recharge is primarily from seepage of Coast Range streams along the west side of the subbasin (approximately 30,000 to 40,000 afy) and deep percolation of surface irrigation. Secondary recharge to the upper aquifer (approximately 20,000 to 30,000 afy) and lower aquifer (150,000 to 200,000 afy) occurred from areas to the east and northeast as subsurface flows. WWD
estimated the average deep percolation between 1978 and 1996 was 244,000 afy and applied groundwater between 1978 and 1997 was 193,000 afy (DWR, 2006; WWD, 2015).

According to DWR’s draft designation, the Westside Subbasin is considered a critically overdrafted basin. This designation was recently identified as a part of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 (SGMA) and Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) process and was based on significant, on-going, and irreversible subsidence which was about 0.4 feet per year between 2007 and 2011 (DWR, 2015b). Basins in critical overdraft must develop a GSP by 2020. As the primary water purveyor in the Westside Subbasin, Westlands Water District is the designated Groundwater Management Agency for the

Table 6. Groundwater Use and Elevation Change in Westlands Water District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Year</th>
<th>Pumped AF</th>
<th>Elevation Change FT</th>
<th>Crop Year</th>
<th>Pumped AF</th>
<th>Elevation Change FT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>964,000</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>145,000</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>928,000</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>159,000</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>884,000</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>912,000</td>
<td>-77</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>872,000</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>824,000</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>920,000</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>883,000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>913,000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>325,000</td>
<td>-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>822,000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>924,000</td>
<td>-134</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>875,000</td>
<td>-156</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>596,000</td>
<td>-135</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>592,000</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>377,000</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>205,000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>472,000</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>159,000</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>480,000</td>
<td>-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>99,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2012&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>355,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>638,000</td>
<td>-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>655,000</td>
<td>-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>228,000</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>660,000</td>
<td>-120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WWD, 2016.

<sup>1</sup> Crop year is from October 1 of previous year to September 30 of current year.

<sup>2</sup> Starting with 2012, groundwater pumped is for Water Year (March 1 through February 28)
subbasin, and is currently in the process of developing the GSP for the subbasin. The plans and progress toward meeting the sustainability goal of achieving sustainable groundwater management within 20 years of implementation of the GSP, will be evaluated every five years. Other actions to manage the subbasin are described later in this chapter.

Aquifer’s Ability to Recover

The reduction of CVP water and other surface supplies to the District over time has resulted in the construction of many new wells by farmers to obtain water to make up for the shortfall. There were 605 wells constructed within the District between 2000 and 2015. The total number of operational wells within the District in 2014 was 792 and 124 non-operational wells. Most of the information provided here on District groundwater conditions was obtained from the District’s 2015 Deep Groundwater Report (WWD, 2016b) and 2012 Water Management Plan (WWD, 2013a).

As presented in Table 6, prior to the delivery of CVP water into the District, the annual groundwater pumping ranged from 822,000 to 964,000 acre-feet during the period of 1953 to 1968. The majority of this pumping was from the aquifer below the Corcoran Clay causing the sub-Corcoran piezometric groundwater surface (groundwater surface) to reach the lowest recorded average elevation of 156 feet below mean sea level in 1967. The U.S. Geological Survey concluded that extraction of large quantities of groundwater prior to CVP deliveries resulted in compaction of water bearing sediments and caused land subsidence ranging from 1 to 24 feet between 1926 and 1972.

After CVP water deliveries began in 1968, the groundwater surface rose steadily until reaching 89 feet above mean sea level in 1987, the highest average elevation on record dating back to the early 1940’s. The only exception during this period was in 1977 when a drought and drastic reduction of CVP deliveries resulted in compaction of water bearing sediments and caused groundwater pumping of approximately 472,000 acre-feet and an accompanying drop in the groundwater surface elevation of approximately 97 feet.

During the early 1990’s, groundwater pumping increased due to reduced CVP water supplies due to drought and regulatory actions. Groundwater pumping reached an estimated 600,000 acre-feet annually during 1991 and 1992 when the District received only 25 percent of its contractual entitlement of CVP water. This increased pumping caused the groundwater surface to decline to 62 feet below mean sea level, the lowest elevation since 1977. DWR estimated the amount of subsidence since 1983 to be almost two feet in some areas of the District, with most of that subsidence occurring since 1989.

Based on data presented in Table 5 and Table 6, during 2011 to 2015, CVP allocations averaged 28 percent (320,771 acre-feet), total groundwater pumped was 2,353,000 acre-feet, and the groundwater surface elevation decreased 129 feet. The CVP allocations for 2014 and 2015 water year were 0 percent for both years and with the accompanying increase in groundwater pumped (655,000 acre-feet and 660,000 acre-feet, respectively), the groundwater surface decreased 62 feet over the two-year period to an average elevation of 120 feet below mean sea level.
In the project vicinity, the depth to the top of the Corcoran Clay in the project vicinity is approximately 650 to 700 feet. The elevation of the base of fresh groundwater is approximately -2200 feet mean sea level (WWD, 2015b).

**Sustainable Yield**

Estimates of annual sustainable yield or perennial yield of the subbasin (i.e., the annual amount of groundwater that can be extracted without lowering groundwater levels over the long term) are currently being developed by WWD through its development of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. Once the sustainable yield number is determined, the yield per acre will vary somewhat throughout WWD depending on localized hydrogeology. However, as indicated in Tables 5 and 6 for 2013 through 2015, under drought conditions, WWD groundwater withdrawals (data tables only include WWD data as growers who rely solely on groundwater are not included here) results in progressive lowering of the groundwater table, indicating exceedance of the sustainable yield of the groundwater resource.

**WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT SUPPLY CONDITIONS**

The District has stated it will provide PV solar projects an operational water supply of up to 5.0 afy per quarter section (160 acres) (which equals 0.03 af/ac/yr or 600 afy for the Westlands Solar Park plan area). Total operational demands of 270 afy from Table 3 equates to 2.16 afy per ¼ section (0.00135 af/ac/yr), well within WWD’s maximum annual allowance.

Because of recurring dry years and the possibility of a drought during the construction period, pumping in excess of the sustainable yield may continue in the Westside Subbasin. However, such conditions would occur regardless of the proposed project and water levels in the Westside Subbasin have historically generally recovered from periods of heavy pumping during drought years, indicating that overdraft conditions do not persist when the import of surface water returns to non-drought quantities. However, DWR designated the subbasin as critically overdrafted primarily because of the related subsidence effects of overpumping. Although the District has been able to meet its municipal and industrial untreated water demands in the past, in the event that the District cannot provide the project water supply, water can be obtained from the same local wells that were used for construction water demands.

**WATER MANAGEMENT AGENCIES AND ACTIVITIES**

The majority of the Westside Subbasin is in Fresno County, extending south into Kings County. The Westside Subbasin is almost entirely within the District service area.

**Westlands Water District**

With the a total irrigation requirement of 1.5 million afy, and with WWD’s CVP contract water amount recently reduced to a maximum 895,000 afy (with actual surface water deliveries recently averaging far less), the District must allocate water to its growers, even in the wettest years. To adapt to ongoing supply shortages and shallow groundwater drainage issues which are detrimental to regional
groundwater quality, the District funds education and technology, enabling growers to effectively utilize water allotments through efficiencies. The District surveys the static water levels in the wells and the water quality and quantity of pumped groundwater as part of its Water Management Plan.

A key component of the District’s Water Management Plan is water conservation. This program consists of the following elements.

- Irrigation Guide for water requirements per crop
- Water Conservation and Management Handbook
- Workshops and meeting on water management information
- Technical assistance and conservation computer programs
- Meter repair and updated program
- Groundwater monitoring
- Pump efficiency tests
- Conjunctive use of supplies
- Irrigation System Improvement Program
- Satellite imagery purchased about once every two weeks

As mentioned above, the SGMA requires that all medium to critically overdrafted subbasins identified by DWR be managed by a groundwater sustainability agency (GSA). The GSA is responsible for locally managing the groundwater subbasin through the development and implementation a GSP. As the primary water purveyor in the DWR-designated critically overdrafted Westside Subbasin, WWD is serving as the GSA for the subbasin, effective November 1, 2016. Under SGMA, WWD is required to submit a Groundwater Sustainability Plan by January 31, 2020 to demonstrate how the groundwater resources will be sustainably managed. As mentioned, the WWD is currently in the process of developing the GSP for the Westside Subbasin.

**Fresno Area Regional Groundwater Management Plan**

The Fresno County Groundwater Management Plan was updated in 2006. Although the study area is primarily within the Kings Subbasin which does not extend to the WSP site, its activities will improve the management of the Westside Subbasin and it demonstrates active efforts towards increased supply reliability in the region. The regional groundwater management group of nine agencies and one private water company that prepared the plan is implementing activities to improve water resources management and reporting annually. Activities include: groundwater level monitoring, groundwater quality monitoring, land surface subsidence monitoring, and surface water monitoring on an ongoing basis. These agencies are constantly making improvements to improve groundwater recharge, increase water conservation and education savings, pursue groundwater banking, increase recycled water usage to reduce potable consumption, and other activities.

**WATER SUPPLY RELIABILITY**

SB 610 requires the consideration of supply availability under varying climatic conditions including normal water years and dry years. Reasonable assumptions can be made regarding availability and
reliability under normal year and dry year scenarios based on available data and information for the project.

**Groundwater Supply Reliability**

During single and multiple dry years when less CVP contract water is available, the District relies more on local groundwater resources, resulting in a temporary drawdown of the aquifer. As demonstrated, historically the basin generally recovers from these times of increased pumping when surface water availability is restored; however, there is some concern regarding subsidence reducing the overall capacity of the aquifer, particularly on the west side of the subbasin.

In addition, reducing the current amount of groundwater pumping within the Westlands Solar Park plan area will increase availability of Westside Subbasin groundwater supplies and not exacerbate subsidence. Groundwater management efforts described in this WSA would contribute to additional supply and improved quality of waters in the Westside Subbasin. For the construction of the Westlands Solar Park solar projects, groundwater in this unadjudicated basin is considered available and reliable under normal water years, a single dry water year, and multiple dry years, as shown in Table 7.

Westlands Solar Park’s temporary peak demands of 729 afy (during the 13-year construction period) and 270 afy (operational use after Westlands Solar Park buildout) would introduce a less intensive water demand on 11,120 acres of the site which is currently pumping some portion of the overall 27,800 afy irrigation demand. Of the 9,820 acres of fallowed (or dry farmed) District-owned land, the Westlands Solar Park solar projects would temporarily represent a more intensive use of the land by applying water for dust control during construction (whereas no water is applied to this area currently). The net result for the entire 20,900 acre plan area is a reduction in water demands from 27,800 afy to a maximum of 729 afy during peak construction and 270 afy for operations after buildout. Based on the information provided in this WSA, the maximum year demand during construction of 729 afy is not expected to result in adverse water supply reliability impacts; in fact, the change in land use will result in a beneficial impact to the Westside Subbasin by significantly reducing the amount of groundwater pumped.

**Westlands Water District Supply Reliability**

The amount of CVP contract water received by the District during any given year varies depending on climatic and hydrologic conditions, Delta constraints, and other factors. The District augments the contract water with transfers and other purchased supplies, and growers augment surface supplies through increased groundwater pumping. During operation of the project, the long term water demand of 270 afy for operational uses such as panel cleaning and vegetation management by sheep grazing would be met using water provided by WWD.

The District does not have a municipal and industrial (M&I) supply contract with USBR, but it does exercise provisions in its agricultural water service contract for supplying water for incidental agricultural water. These purposes include M&I water use for industrial and commercial operations, single family dwellings, and farm housing. Thus, WWD delivers untreated water to communities of Coalinga, Heron, and other M&I users. The WWD rules and regulations recognize solar facilities as an
Table 7. Westlands Solar Park Supplies and Demands (afy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal Year Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply 1</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal Year Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply 3</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single Dry Year Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply 1</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single Dry Year Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply 3</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Dry Year Construction (Year 1, 2, 3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply 1</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Dry Year Operations (Year 1, 2, 3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Supply</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWD Supply 3</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Demand 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pending WWD’s development of sustainable yield estimates through its ongoing Groundwater Sustainability Plan efforts, this analysis presumes a sustainable yield of 0.24 af/ac/yr (based on a conservatively low estimate of 135,000 afy sustainable yield for the 568,000 irrigable acres within Westlands Water District) applied to 11,120 currently irrigated acres within the Westlands Solar Park plan area. This low-side estimate of sustainable yield provides a reasonable worst-case baseline for purposes of this WSA.

2 From Table 4.

3 WWD can provide up to 5.0 afy per 160 acres from its CVP allocation augmented with other purchases and groundwater. Assumes total WSP plan area of 20,000 acres.

M&I use and therefore has a higher priority for CVP allocations. During dry years for example, a higher percentage is allocated to M&I than to agricultural uses (e.g., during 2014 the CVP had a 25 percent allocation for M&I versus 0 percent for agriculture).
WWD manages its supplies for long term supply reliability. It augments CVP contract water with local and purchased surface waters, which are supplemented by groundwater pumping by growers, as presented in Table 5, and WWD encourages the fallowing of lands during shortages. Based on the information provided in this WSA, WWD water supplies to meet the operational demand of 270 afy under normal water years, a single dry water year, and multiple dry years, are considered available and reliable, as shown in Table 7. If for some reason District surface water supplies are not available when needed, groundwater would be pumped from local agricultural wells and trucked to the site for panel washing and sheep grazing.

In summary, sufficient water supply is available to meet Westlands Solar Park construction and operational demands under normal, dry, and multiple dry year climatic conditions. Westlands Solar Park would result in significantly less groundwater pumping of the Westside Subbasin during construction, and no groundwater pumping during solar facility operations after full buildout.

OTHER PLANNED USES

Other planned uses in the Westside Subbasin consist almost entirely of other solar PV generation facilities. Currently, there are 15 completed or partially completed solar projects in the Fresno County and Kings County portions of the subbasin, plus an additional 13 solar projects with pending or approved conditional use permit (CUP) applications at the counties. The total land area covered by these other projects is approximately 22,599 acres, with a total generating capacity of 2,478 MW. Based on an average construction water demand rate of 2.0 acre-feet/MW (or 0.2 acre-feet/acre, on average, based on land requirements of approximately 10 acres per MW), these other projects would consume a total of 4,956 acre-feet during construction. It is assumed that all construction water would be obtained from local groundwater sources within the subbasin, and it is expected that construction of each acre of solar project would take less than one year. The consumption rate of 0.2 af/ac/yr would not exceed the presumed groundwater sustainable yield of 0.24 af/ac/yr of the groundwater basin. Upon completion, operational water demands would be approximately 0.0135 af/ac/yr. It is assumed that operational water for the other solar projects would be obtained from groundwater sources within the subbasin. These operational water demands would be well below the presumed sustainable yield for the groundwater basin. In summary, neither the short-term construction of the other planned projects within the subbasin, nor the long-term operational water demands from each project, would be likely to exceed the sustainable yield of the groundwater basin. Therefore, the construction and operational water demands for the other planned projects in the subbasin could be met from existing groundwater sources without contributing to overdraft of the subbasin.
CHAPTER 4 – CONCLUSIONS

SUFFICIENCY FINDINGS

A lack of specific data for project site groundwater usage and replenishment rates (e.g., a water budget) makes it difficult to quantify baseline conditions regarding groundwater supply availability. However, an analysis of the ability of the groundwater basin (based on District subbasin data) to meet projected temporary construction water demands of Westlands Solar Project was based on other factors. One consideration is that the solar projects have rights to a reasonable use of groundwater supply from the groundwater basin they overlie and that the peak construction demands are substantially less than the presumed sustainable groundwater yield on a per acre basis. Another consideration is that the projected peak combine construction and operational buildout demands for the Westlands Solar Park (729 afy) will be significantly lower than current total agricultural water demands within the WSP plan area (27,800 afy).

The WWD CVP allocation is only about 50 percent reliable on average, but this supply is augmented with other sources, particularly during dry years. The groundwater basin available to individual landowners within WWD is in critical overdraft. However a reduction in agricultural water demands due to the solar project will result in increased water supply reliability for other agricultural users within the District.

With consideration of these variables and conditions, it is concluded that groundwater supplies from the Westside Subbasin will meet construction demands for the WSP during the 13 year construction period, in addition to the demand of existing and other planned future uses. District water supplies will meet projected operational water demands for the WSP over a 20 year planning horizon, in addition to the demand of existing and other planned future uses. No supply deficiencies are expected in normal, dry, and multiple dry years for the proposed project. This WSA was prepared in compliance with the California Water Code, as amended by SB 610.
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